



Shri Jasbir Singh,  
Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bholapur Jhabewal,  
Post Office Ramgarh,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 241 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is absent.  
ASI Ramesh Kumar, Incharge RTI Branch, O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana is present.

**O R D E R**

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 28.09.2020 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to him by the PIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 31.10.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 31.12.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the PIO and he stated that the attested copies of sought for information has already been provided to the appellant by hand on 28.01.2021 and the appellant has executed a duly signed receipt after receiving the information.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the PIO, which is a copy of letter no.AC241-2020/RTI dated 30.03.2021 along with a receipt dated 28.01.2021 duly signed by Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant.

The appellant though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that he has received the requisite information and he is satisfied.

As the PIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and provided the requisite information to the appellant and the appellant himself admitted in his e-mail that he has received the information and he is satisfied, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 15.04.2021**

**( Avtar Singh Kaler )**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

Shri Kamaljit Singh,  
# 395-L, Model Town,  
Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 294 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Kamaljit Singh, appellant is present.  
ASI Ramesh Kumar, Incharge RTI Branch, O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana is present.

**ORDER**

Shri Kamaljit Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 21.09.2020 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to him by the PIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 03.11.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 31.12.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the PIO.

On the other hand the respondent stated that the appellant sought information regarding the complaints lodged by the Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana against the unauthorized construction done in all the four Zones of Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana and also the certified copies of all the F.I.R. lodged by the police. The respondent further stated that vide letter No. 2061/RTI dated 06.10.2020, it was replied to the appellant that there are 29 Police Stations and under these Police Stations there are number of other Police Chowkis and every Police Station has its own separate PIO and the applicant can obtain the information by filing different RTI application to the concerned PIO's.

I have gone through the RTI application filed by the applicant, which is not specific rather it is vague.

As the RTI application of the applicant is not specific rather it is vague, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that the appellant can obtain the sought for information from the concerned Police Station by filing fresh RTI application.

**Dated: 15.04.2021**

**( Avtar Singh Kaler )**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Amandeep Singh, S/o Shri Dhian Singh,  
H.No. 246/3, Opposite Manit Hospital,  
Big Mandi, Patti,  
Distt. Tarn Taran-143416.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Principal,  
Central Convent Sen. Sec. School (CBSE),  
Old Kairon Road, Ward No. 5,  
Patti, Distt. Tarn Taran.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o District Education Officer (SE),  
Tarn Taran.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 297 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Amandeep Singh, appellant is present.  
Ms. Sonia Sharma, Vice Principal, O/o Principal, Central Convent Sen. Sec.  
School (CBSE), Patti, Distt. Tarn Taran is present.

**ORDER**

Shri Amandeep Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 21.09.2020 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Principal, Central Convent Sen. Sec. School (CBSE), Patti, Distt. Tarn Taran. When no information was provided to him by the PIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 07.11.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 31.12.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that no information has been provided to him by the respondent.

The respondent stated that the Central Convent Sen. Sec. School (CBSE), Patti, Distt. Tarn Taran is a private school and it does not come within the ambit of RTI Act, 2005.

I have gone through the RTI application filed by the appellant. The appellant sought information from the Principal, Central Convent Sen. Sec. School (CBSE), Patti, Distt. Tarn Taran, which is a private school and it does not come within the ambit of definition of public authority as defined U/s 2(h) of the RTI Act, 2005. Therefore, the Right to Information Act does not apply to the respondent i.e. Central Convent Sen. Sec. School (CBSE), Patti, Distt. Tarn Taran. So, no RTI application lies. Hence, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 15.04.2021**

**( Avtar Singh Kaler )**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Gurpreet Singh, S/o Shri Major Singh,  
R/o Village Gurusar,  
Tehsil Gidderbaha,  
Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib-152112.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 304 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Gurpreet Singh, appellant is present.  
Shri Rajesh Kumar, Sr. Asstt. (75083-45678) is present on behalf of the PIO,  
O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

**ORDER**

Shri Gurpreet Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 30.06.2020 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar. When no information was provided to him by the PIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority. A reply was sent to him by the PIO vide letter no.1467 dated 17.07.2020. Not satisfied with the reply, the appellant filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 04.01.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

On the other hand, the respondent stated vide letter no. 1633/ES/G dated 16.09.2020 and again vide letter no. 12/ES/G dated 15.04.2021 point-wise information/ reply has been sent to the appellant and copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

I have gone through the reply/information sent by the PIO. Regarding point no. 1 of the RTI application, the PIO has sent the list of the candidates who had been appointed on the basis of merit. Regarding point no. 2 of the RTI application, the copy of the advertisement has been sent to the appellant. Regarding point no. 3 of the RTI application it has been submitted by the PIO that the Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar has not been made party in the writ petition pending in the Hon'ble High Court, so the concerned information cannot be provided to the appellant.

The PIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 15.04.2021**

**( Avtar Singh Kaler )  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**